



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Cnl

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,534	10/25/2001	David B. Lloyd	345008003US1	4416

25096 7590 01/16/2004

PERKINS COIE LLP
PATENT-SEA
P.O. BOX 1247
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247

EXAMINER

ENATSKY, AARON L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3713

DATE MAILED: 01/16/2004

9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/004,534

Applicant(s)

LLOYD ET AL. *cn*

Examiner

Aaron L Enatsky

Art Unit

3713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aaron L Enatsky.

(3) Steve Lawrenz.

(2) Michelle Sarruf.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: 6,470,180 and 6,527,641.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



MICHAEL O'NEILL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant briefly summarized the instant invention and prior art, and has proposed new claim amendments to read around the standing prior art. The particular features at issue are the addition of different client devices using different protocols to execute game play. Examiner stated that, as characterized in the Final Rejection of paper no. 8 on 10/21/03, it was well known to use different systems to play a game in view of '641 and '180. Examiner also provided an example of connecting to websites, such as www.yahoo.com, using a wireless phone and a pc. The different devices request information from the same server, but the means to communicate with the server would require different protocols. Applicant proposed that clarifying the type of communication used between the client devices and the server might read over prior art. Examiner stated that an amendment of that type would require an additional search..